ADDENDUM #1
This addendum is dated October 17, 2014

Answers to questions

1. Why was RFQ: CC-12 withdrawn? Answer: It was withdrawn for two reasons – First it was not issued by our part without sufficient time to award and perform the necessary work for the grant application deadline. Secondly – there was a procurement issue with using cost as an evaluation criteria with too much weight that may have influenced the selection.

2. Are the answers to questions from Addendum 1 of CC-12 still valid under this RFQ? Answer: With the exceptions to questions #1, #2, #3, #5, Addendum #1 of CC-12 can be considered valid.

3. Is flooding of the road due to overflow from the adjacent streams or is it localized because of insufficient roadway drainage? Answer: Both, this section of road cuts through a swamp area.

4. Are both phases 1 & 2 to be awarded under this RFQ or will Phase 2 be awarded under another RFQ? (If a CDBG grant can be obtained). Answer: Both will be awarded if CDBG grant can be obtained.

5. What are the requirements (if any) for the project to be successfully funded by the CDBG? Answer: CDBG grants are competitive based. We were not awarded a grant last year but were told a flood study would increase the competitiveness of our application should we choose to reapply.

6. Do you still expect that a CLOMR will not be necessary? Answer: No, given the information received from the offerers in the last RFQ, it is expected there may be some CLOMR work involved.

7. Could the County accept a letter from the insurance company agreeing to cover the required coverage in exchange of proof of insurance? Answer: Proof of Insurance will be required only from the successful offerer prior to contract award.